

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/986,764	11/09/2001	Gregory S. Rawlins	1744.1330000	5623
26111	7590 09/08/20	4	EXAMINER	
STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX PLLC			VO, DON NGUYEN	
	ORK AVENUE, N.V ON, DC 20005	I.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
***************************************	0, 20 2000		2631	

DATE MAILED: 09/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			hi-
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u> </u>
	09/986,764	RAWLINS ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	DON N VO	2631	
The MAILING DATE of this commu Period for Reply	nication appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD	FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3	MONTH(S) FROM	
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisior after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for rep Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION.  ns of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may numinication.  (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of the statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) May will, by statute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed  thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) fi	led on <u>6/23/04</u> .		
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition	n for allowance except for formal m	atters, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the prac	tice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-17,26-29,31-40,49</u> a	and 51-82 is/are pending in the app	ication.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/	are withdrawn from consideration.	•	
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>53-60</u> is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-8,13-17,26-29,31-3</u> -	4,39,40,49,51,52,61-67,72-78,81 ar	<u>d 82</u> is/are rejected.	
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-12,35-38 and 68-71</u> is/a	-		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restr	iction and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by t	he Examiner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are	e: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected t	o by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any obj	ection to the drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
		ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)	•
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected	to by the Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
_		Application No	
	onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office acti	on for a list of the certified copies n	ot received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		v Summary (PTO-413)	
<ul> <li>2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (</li> <li>3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or</li> </ul>		o(s)/Mail Date  f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		

Art Unit: 2631

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Acknowledgment

1. This Office Action is responsive to the Amendment filed on 6/23/2004.

# Claim Objections

2. Claim 36 is objected to because of the following informality:

At line 2, "ffirst" should be changed to – first --. Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1, 5-7, 13-17, 26-28, 29, 31-33, 39, 40,49, 51, 61, 64-66, 72-78, 81 and 82 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Richmond et al (4,250,458).

Regarding claims 1, 16, 17, 26, 39, 40, 49, 51, 61, 75-78, 81 and 82, Richmond, as shown in figure 2, teaches a communication receiver comprising a summer (21) and a feedback integrator (amplifier 30 with connecting capacitor and potentiometer), wherein the potentiometer is controlled to vary the time

constant of the integrator and so the frequency response. See column 6, lines 16-34.

Regarding claims 5-7, 13, 28, 29, 31-33, 64-66 and 72, Richmond further teaches the integrator having the amplifier (30), capacitor and potentiometer connecting in a inverting fashion. See column 6, lines 16-20.

Regarding claims 14, 15, 73, and 74, Richmond further teaches amplifiers (23, 24, and 25) coupled downstream of the summer (21) to couple the receiver channel to the integrator. Figure 2.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

7. Claims 2, 4, 27, 52, 62, 63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Richmond et al (4,250,458).

Regarding claims 2, 27, 52 and 62, Richmond teaches all subject matter claimed except for particularly using the DC offset correction circuit in the WLAN communication system. However, the DC offset correction circuit of Richmond can be applied in many communication channels. See column 4, lines 30-35. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the DC offset correction circuit of Richmond in WLAN since it is just an intended use of the circuit.

Regarding claims 4 and 63, Richmond teaches all subject matter claimed except for particularly setting the frequency response of the integrator to certain frequency responses as claimed. However, the frequency response of the integrator of Richmond can be varied based on the controlled value of the potentiometer. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to set the frequency response of the integrator to certain frequency responses as claimed and such setting would not involve any inventive features since it is just a matter of varying the value of the potentiometer.

8. Claims 8, 34, and 67 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Richmond et al (4,250,458) in view of Nagata (6,204,789).

Application/Control Number: 09/986,764 Page 5

Art Unit: 2631

Richmond teaches all subject matter claimed except for particularly implementing the variable resistor (potentiometer) using at least one resistor and at least one switch across the resistor. However, Nagata teaches implementing the variable resistor using such configuration for easily controlling the resistant value (step-size). See figure 17A and column 15, lines 24-27. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the potentiometer of Richmond using the implementation as taught by Nagata so that the resistant value can be easily controlled.

### Allowable Subject Matter

- 9. Claims 53-60, 79 and 80 are allowed.
- 10. Claims 9-12, 35-38, and 68-71 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Response to Arguments

11. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 2, 4-8, 13-17, 26-29, 31-34, 49,

51, and 52 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/986,764 Page 6

Art Unit: 2631

#### Conclusion

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. References Dasgupta (6,084,465) and Urabe et al (5,760,629) are cited because they are pertinent to DC offset compensation circuit.

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DON N VO whose telephone number is (571) 272-3018. The examiner can normally be reached on MON - FRI (8:00-5:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, MOHAMMAD GHAYOUR can be reached on (571) 272-3021. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DON N VO

**Primary Examiner** 

Art Unit 2631